Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)			
	n/	10/082,634		CHAN ET AL.	
	, y	Examiner		Art Unit	
		BJ Forman		1634	
All Participants:		Status of Applicati	on:		
(1) BJ Forman.		(3)			
(2) Mr. Edwin Merkel.		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2006</u>		Time:			
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐	Applica	nt's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No. 1f Yes, provide a brief description: .	0				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:	•				
Claims discussed:	,				
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENER	AL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contraction of all issues. 	ion. The parate re	examiner will provide ecord of the substance	a writte of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
			•		
,		•			
1					
BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/	Applicant's Representa	ative Siç	gnature – if appro	opriate)

Mr. Merkel contacted the examiner in response to the Advisory Action in which the examiner stated that the new claims were of the same scope as the previously rejected claims. Mr. Merkel explained that limitations from claims which were previously indicated as allowable were in the new claims and therefore, the scope of the new claims is different from the previously rejected claims. Mr. Merkel's comments were convincing. The examiner stated that a notice of allowability would be prepared. The examiner further requested permission to make an examiner's amendment to amend Claim 3 to make it depend from Claim 1. Permission was given.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER